

have been.

S. E. Shinn of Aneta, recently arrested on a charge of man-slaughter in the second degree, for ejecting from his place of business a troublesome character, who, being so intoxicated lost control of himself and fell striking his head against a wagon wheel which caused his death, was convicted at the last term of the district court at Lakota, and sentenced to one year in the penitentiary. It would appear from this decision that in some cases a proprietor has less privileges around his own dump than a booze-fighter has, and Shinn is held responsible for the intruder's inability to handle himself safely after he gets outside where he has plenty of room to slop around as much as he chooses. Of course, we would not criticise the findings of the jury in the case for they heard the evidence and we know nothing of the circumstances except as reported, but it seems that when the evidence against the defendant is so strong that his right to protect his own place of business is denied him the limit has been reached. We do not censure the jury, and we do not wish to encourage crime, but when a man is imposed upon in his own house we do believe in that man protecting himself and his business.